

Fathima Jeinulabdeen

Solicitor

406247

[Prosecution Date: 9 January 2024](#)

Decision - Prosecution

Outcome: Referral to Solicitors Disciplinary Tribunal

Outcome date: 9 January 2024

Published date: 6 November 2025

Firm details

Firm or organisation at time of matters giving rise to outcome

Name: Jein Solicitors (Intervened 22/10/24)

Address(es): 3-5 Lee High Road, Lewisham, London SE13 5LD

Firm ID: 490196

Outcome details

This outcome was reached by SRA decision.

Reasons/basis

This notification relates to a Decision to prosecute before the Solicitors Disciplinary Tribunal. This is an independent Tribunal which will reach its own decision after considering all the evidence, including any evidence put forward by the Solicitor. The Tribunal has certified that there is a case to answer in respect of allegations which are or include that Miss Jeinulabdeen while in practice as a Solicitor, as a manager, sole owner of an authorised body (a recognised sole practice) trading as Jein Solicitors ('the Firm') and then as a freelance solicitor:-

Caused inaccurate and/or misleading information to be provided to the firm's PII provider in any or all of five years of submissions;

Between 26 June 2017 and 15 May 2023, failed to:

Nominate a money laundering reporting officer (MLRO), as required by Regulation 21(3) of the MLRs 2017; and



Seek SRA approval for herself as beneficial owner, officer or manager (BOOM), as required by Regulation 26(1) of the MLRs 2017.

Between 26 June 2017 and May 2023, failed to ensure that the firm had in place any or all of:

A Firm Wide Risk Assessment (FWRA) as required by Regulation 18 of the MLRs 2017;

Policies Controls and procedures (PCPs) as required by Regulation 19 of the MLRs 2017; and

Staff training as required by Regulation 24 of the MLRs 2017.

In a client matter, failed to conduct a Client Matter Risk Assessment (CMRA) as required by Regulation 28(12)(a)(ii) and 28(13) of the MLRs 2017,

And In a client matter failed to:

Conduct a CMRA as required by Regulation 28(12)(a)(ii) and 28(13) of the MLRs 2017. During this transaction, the firm received monies from nine parties who were not the client. In respect of these funds, Miss Jeinulabdeen failed to:

Conduct ongoing monitoring of the transaction (to include source of funds checks) as required by Regulation 28(11) of the MLRs 2017.

In a client matter, failed to return funds promptly to the client.

Between 30 December 2023 and 31 October 2024, acted in immigration matters on behalf of clients whilst unauthorised to do so.

The allegations are subject to a Hearing before the Solicitors Disciplinary Tribunal and are as yet unproven.

Closure Date: 22 October 2024

Decision - Closure

Outcome: Intervention

Outcome date: 22 October 2024

Published date: 24 October 2024

Firm details

Firm or organisation at date of publication and at time of matters giving rise to outcome



Name: Jein Solicitors

Address(es): 3-5 Lee High Road, Lewisham, London SE13 5LD

Firm ID: 490196

Outcome details

This outcome was reached by SRA decision.

Decision details

To intervene into Ms Jeinulabdeen's, including but not limited to her practice at Jein Solicitors and her freelance practice.

Reasons/basis

There is reason to suspect dishonesty on Ms Jeinulabdeen part in connection with her practice as a solicitor (paragraph 1(1)(a)(i) of Schedule 1 Part I to the Solicitors Act 1974).

There has been a failure by Ms Jeinulabdeen to comply with rules made under sections 31 and 32 of the Solicitors Act (paragraph 1(1)(c) of Schedule 1 Part I to the Solicitors Act 1974).

Intervening agents

Emma Sellars of Gordons LLP, 1 New Augustus Street, Bradford, BD1 5LL has been appointed as the intervening agent.

For enquiries please call 0113 227 0399 or email Intervention@gordonsllp.com [mailto:Intervention@gordonsllp.com]

Prosecution Date: 9 January 2024

Decision - Prosecution

Outcome: Referral to Solicitors Disciplinary Tribunal

Outcome date: 9 January 2024

Published date: 19 August 2024

Firm details

Firm or organisation at date of publication and at time of matters giving rise to outcome

Name: Jein Solicitors



Address(es): Jain Buildings, 3 - 5 Lee High Road, Lewisham, London SE13 5LD

Firm ID: 490196

Outcome details

This outcome was reached by SRA decision.

Reasons/basis

This notification relates to a Decision to prosecute before the Solicitors Disciplinary Tribunal. This is an independent Tribunal which will reach its own decision after considering all the evidence, including any evidence put forward by the Solicitor. The Tribunal has certified that there is a case to answer in respect of allegations which are or include that Miss Jainulabdeen :-

Caused inaccurate and/or misleading information to be provided to the firm's PII provider in any or all of five years of submissions;

Between 26 June 2017 and 15 May 2023, failed to:

- a. Nominate a money laundering reporting officer (MLRO), as required by Regulation 21(3) of the MLRs 2017; and
- b. Seek SRA approval for herself as beneficial owner, officer or manager (BOOM), as required by Regulation 26(1) of the MLRs 2017.

Between 26 June 2017 and May 2023, failed to ensure that the firm had in place any or all of:

- a. A Firm Wide Risk Assessment (FWRA) as required by Regulation 18 of the MLRs 2017;
- b. Policies Controls and procedures (PCPs) as required by Regulation 19 of the MLRs 2017; and
- c. Staff training as required by Regulation 24 of the MLRs 2017.

In a client matter, failed to conduct a Client Matter Risk Assessment (CMRA) as required by Regulation 28(12)(a)(ii) and 28(13) of the MLRs 2017,

And In a client matter failed to:

- a. Conduct a CMRA as required by Regulation 28(12)(a)(ii) and 28(13) of the MLRs 2017. During this transaction, the firm received monies from nine parties who were not the client. In respect of these funds, Miss Jainulabdeen failed to:
- b. Conduct ongoing monitoring of the transaction (to include source of funds checks) as required by Regulation 28(11) of the MLRs 2017.

In a client matter, failed to return funds promptly to the client.

The allegations are subject to a Hearing before the Solicitors Disciplinary Tribunal and are as yet unproven.

[Search again \[https://consultations.sra.org.uk/consumers/solicitor-check/\]](https://consultations.sra.org.uk/consumers/solicitor-check/)